

## Material Reconsideration Rubric

Circle the number that best reflects where the item under consideration lands on each scale.

This item clearly reflects our Collection Development Policy.	0	6	12	18	24	30	This item is not in line with our Collection Development Policy.
This item contains multiple examples of accurate and positive representation of historically underrepresented groups.	0	3	6	9	12	15	This item does not contain accurate and positive representations of any historically underrepresented groups.
This item is unique in our collection in terms of perspective, topic, or content.	0	2	4	6	8	10	The perspective, topic, or content of this item is otherwise well represented in our collection.
This item has received many positive and starred reviews.	0	2	4	6	8	10	This item received mostly critical reviews.
This work is highly relevant in terms of history, currency, accuracy, and local interest.	0	2	4	6	8	10	This work has little relevancy in terms of history, currency, accuracy, and local interest.
Many other libraries like ours have this item in their collection.	0	1	2	3	4	5	Few other libraries like ours have this item in their collection.
This item is appropriately classified in our collection.	0	1	2	3	4	5	This item is not appropriately classified in our collection.
This item was donated to the library.	0					5	This item was not donated to the library.
This item does not contain offensive language.	0	1	2	3	4	5	This item contains substantial offensive language.
This item does not contain offensive content.	0	1	2	3	4	5	This item contains substantial offensive content.

**Sum of the above scores: \_\_\_\_\_ / 100**

A **low score** indicates that the item should be retained in the collection, possibly with minimal changes to classification.

A **high score** supports a change of status for this item, up to and including removal.